arXiv:1401.3052v2 [math.AT] 15 Jan 2014

EQUIVARIANT BORDISM OF 2-TORUS MANIFOLDS AND UNITARY TORIC MANIFOLDS–A SURVEY

ZHI LÜ

Abstract. In this paper we survey results and recent progresses on the equivariant bordism classification of 2-torus manifolds and unitary toric manifolds.

1. Introduction

An n-dimensional *2-torus manifold* is a smooth closed n-dimensional (not necessarily oriented) manifold equipped with an effective smooth $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-action, so its fixed point set is empty or consists of a set of isolated points (see [33, 35]). A $2n$-dimensional *unitary toric manifold*, introduced by Masuda in [40], is a unitary $2n$-dimensional manifold equipped with an effective T^n-action fixing a nonempty fixed point set and preserving the tangential stably complex structure of M^{2n}, where T^n is the torus group of rank n and a unitary manifold is an oriented closed smooth manifold whose tangent bundle admits a stably complex structure. The seminal work of Davis and Januszkiewicz in [15] studied two kinds of equivariant manifolds: *small covers* and *quasitoric manifolds*, which are the real and complex topological versions of toric varieties in algebraic geometry, respectively, where a small cover of dimension n (resp. a quasitoric manifold of dimension $2n$) is a smooth closed n-dimensional manifold (resp. $2n$-dimensional manifold) admiting a locally standard $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-action (resp. T^n-action) such that the orbit space is a simple convex polytope. As shown in [15], small covers and quasitoric manifolds have a very beautiful algebraic topology and provide a strong link between equivariant topology, polytope theory and combinatorics. Obviously, each small cover is a special 2-torus manifold. Buchstaber and Ray showed in [7] that each quasitoric manifold with an omniorientation always admits a compatible tangential stably complex structure. Thus, small covers and omnioriented quasitoric manifolds provide abundant examples of 2-torus manifolds and unitary toric manifolds, respectively.

In nonequivariant case, Buchstaber and Ray showed in [7] that each class of $\mathfrak{N}_n$ (resp. Ω^U_{2n}) contains an n-dimensional small cover (resp. a $2n$-dimensional quasitoric manifold) as its representative, where $\mathfrak{N}_* = \sum_{m\geq 0}\mathfrak{N}_m$ (resp. $\Omega^U_* = \sum_{m\geq 0}\Omega^U_{2m}$) is the ring formed by the unoriented bordism classes of all smooth closed manifolds (resp. the unitary bordism classes of all unitary manifolds). The work of Buchstaber and Ray gives a motivation to the study of the equivariant bordism classification of 2-torus manifolds and unitary toric manifolds, so that the following question arises naturally.

(Q1) *Can preferred representatives in the classes of $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$) be chosen from small covers (resp. omnioriented quasitoric manifolds)?*

Keywords. Equivariant bordism, 2-torus manifold, unitary toric manifold, small cover, quasitoric manifold, polytope, graph.

2000AMS Classification: 57S10, 57R85, 14M25, 52B70.

Supported by grants from NSFC (No. 11371093 and No. 10931005) and RFDP (No. 20100071110001).

where $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$) denotes the group produced by the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-equivariant unoriented bordism classes of all n-dimensional 2-torus manifolds (resp. the T^n-equivariant unitary bordism classes of all $2n$-dimensional unitary toric manifolds). Note that the cartesian products of actions also define the graded rings $\mathfrak{M}_* = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ and $\Xi_* = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$, which, significantly, turn out to be non-commutative. With respect to this question, the author of this paper first dealt with the case of 2-torus manifolds and proposed the following concrete conjecture in [33].

Conjecture $(*)$**:** *Each class of* $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ *contains a small cover as its representative.*

It was shown in [33] that Conjecture $(*)$ is true for $n \leq 3$, and there is also an essential link among the equivariant bordism of 3-dimensional 2-torus manifolds, 3-dimensional colored polytopes and mod 2 GKM graphs of valence 3. Moreover, the following question also arises naturally although 2-torus manifolds (resp. unitary toric manifolds) form a much wider class than small covers (resp. omnioriented quasitoric manifolds).

(Q2) *Is there still a strong link among the equivariant bordism of 2-torus manifolds and unitary toric manifolds, colored polytopes and (mod 2) GKM graphs in the general case?*

The purpose of this paper is to investigate results and recent development on the equivariant bordism classification of 2-torus manifolds and unitary toric manifolds with respect to the above questions.

In the setting of 2-torus manifolds, a significant amount of technical machinery has been developed in [37] by defining a differential operator on the dual algebra of the unoriented $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-representation algebra introduced by Conner and Floyd, so that the satisfactory solutions to the questions (Q1) and (Q2) can be obtained, and in particular, Conjecture $(*)$ can be answered affirmatively. In addition, this technical machinery can be combined with the mod 2 GKM theory and the Davis–Januszkiewicz Theory of small covers together very well, so that one can determine how the graded noncommutative ring $\mathfrak{M}_*$ is generated, and find some essential relationships among 2-torus manifolds, coloring polynomials, colored simple convex polytopes, colored graphs. It should be pointed out that the classical $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-equivariant bordism theory and results (e.g., tom Dieck's existence theorem) also play important roles on the study of the equivariant bordism classification of 2-torus manifolds. In Section 2, we shall systemically introduce the developed equivariant unoriented bordism theory in the setting of 2-torus manifolds.

In the setting of unitary toric manifolds, whether or not each class of $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$ is represented by an omnioriented quasitoric manifold is still open in the general case. However, some techniques and ideas developed in the setting of 2-torus manifolds can still be carried out very well in this case. Indeed, Darby in [14] drew those techniques and ideas into the case of unitary toric manifolds with some new viewpoints, so that significant advances can be obtained in many aspects, such as the graded noncommutative ring Ξ_*, some essential links to polytope theory and torus graphs, and so on. The key reason why the case of unitary toric manifolds has less progresses than that of 2-torus manifolds is because of the existence of an infinite number of irreducible complex T^n-representations. This leads the case of unitary toric manifolds to be much more difficult and complicated. We shall give an introduction on the study of $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$ in Section 3. In addition, in Section 3 we also shall mention the

work on the equivariant Chern numbers of unitary toric manifolds in [36] which is related to Kosniowski conjecture, and some problems and conjectures will also be proposed therein.

Finally we survey a result on the relation between $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ and $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n))$ in Section 4.

2. Equivariant unoriented bordism of 2-torus manifolds

In the early 1960s, Conner and Floyd [12] (also see [11]) begun the study of geometric equivariant unoriented and oriented bordism theories for smooth closed manifolds with periodic diffeomorphisms, and the subject has also continued to develop and to flourish by extending their ideas to other equivariant bordisms since then. For example, the homotopy theoretic analogue was described by tom Dieck [16]. A main aspect of Conner–Floyd's work is on the study of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-equivariant unoriented bordism. Conner and Floyd studied the localization of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-equivariant unoriented bordism. The following localization theorem is due to Conner and Folyd [12] for $n = 1$ and Stong [49] for the general case.

Theorem 2.1. *The ring homomorphism $\phi_* : \mathfrak{N}_*^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} = \sum_{i\geq 0} \mathfrak{N}_i^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*(BO)$, defined by mapping the equivariant unoriented class of a smooth closed $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-manifold to the unoriented bordism class of its normal bundle of fixed point set, is injective, where $\mathfrak{N}_*^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}$ is the ring formed by the equivariant unoriented bordism classes of all smooth closed $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-manifolds.*

However, generally speaking, the ring structure of $\mathfrak{N}_*^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}$ is still far from settled except for the case $n = 1$ (see [1, 46]). In [12], Conner and Floyd discussed the case in which the fixed point set of the action is isolated, and introduced and studied a graded commutative algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with unit, $\mathcal{Z}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) = \sum_{m\geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_m((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$, where $\mathcal{Z}_m((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ consists of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-equivariant unoriented bordism classes of all smooth closed m-manifolds with effective $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-actions fixing a finite set. Clearly, $\mathcal{Z}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ is a subring of $\mathfrak{N}_*^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}$, and when $m = n$, $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ is exactly formed by the classes of all 2-torus n-manifolds. Also, the restriction to $\mathcal{Z}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ of ϕ_* gives the following monomorphism (still denoted by ϕ_*)

$$\phi_* : \mathcal{Z}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$$

defined by $\{M\} \longmapsto \sum_{p\in M^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}} [\tau_p M]$ where $\tau_p M$ denotes the real $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-representation on the tangent space at $p \in M^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}$, and $\mathcal{R}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) = \sum_{m\geq 0} \mathcal{R}_m((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ is the graded polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by the isomorphism classes of one-dimensional irreducible real $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-representations, which was introduced by Conner and Folyd and is called the *Conner–Floyd unoriented $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-representation algebra* here. Conner and Floyd showed in [12] that when $n = 1$ $\mathcal{Z}_*(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and when $n = 2$, $\mathcal{Z}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ where u denotes the class of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ with the standard $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$-action. Since then, any new progress on $\mathcal{Z}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ has not been made until the work in [33] appeared in 2009. When $n = 3$, the group structure of $\mathcal{Z}_3((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ was determined in [33] (see also [39]), and it was also shown therein that $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{Z}_3((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3) = 13$.

The objective of this section is to survey the recent progress on the study of $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ (i.e., the equivariant unoriented bordism of 2-torus manifolds) in the general case.

2.1. The reformulation of the existence theorem of tom Dieck and a differential operator.

In [17, Theorem 6], tom Dieck showed an existence theorem, saying that the existence of an m-dimensional smooth closed $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-manifold M^m fixing a finite set can be

characterized by the integral property of its fixed point data. In [37], Lü and Tan gave a simple proof to show that the existence theorem of tom Dieck can be formulated into the following result in terms of Kosniowski and Stong's localization formula ([26]).

Theorem 2.2 ([37, Theorem 2.2])**.** *Let $\{\tau_1, ..., \tau_l\}$ be a collection of m-dimensional faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-representations in $\mathcal{R}_m((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that $\tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_l \in \mathrm{Im}\phi_m$ (or $\{\tau_1, ..., \tau_l\}$ is the fixed point data of a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-manifold M^m) is that for all symmetric polynomial functions $f(x_1, ..., x_m)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{f(\tau_i)}{\chi^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}(\tau_i)} \in H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n; \mathbb{Z}_2) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\chi^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}(\tau_i)$ denotes the equivariant Euler class of τ_i, which is a product of m nonzero elements of $H^1(B(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and $f(\tau_i)$ means that variables $x_1, ..., x_m$ in the function $f(x_1, ..., x_m)$ are replaced by those m degree-one factors in $\chi^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}(\tau_i)$.

Remark 1. Although all elements of $\mathrm{Im}\phi_*$ can be characterized by the formula (2.1), it is still quite difficult to determine the algebra structure of $\mathrm{Im}\phi_* \cong \mathscr{Z}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$.

It is well-known that all irreducible real $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-representations bijectively correspond to all elements in $\mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where every irreducible real representation of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ has the form $\lambda_\rho : (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda_\rho(g, x) = (-1)^{\rho(g)}x$ for $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and λ_ρ is trivial if $\rho(g) = 0$ for all $g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$. Write $J_n^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and regard it as the set of all all irreducible real $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-representations. Then the free polynomial algebra on $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$, can be identified with $\mathcal{R}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. Similarly, one has also another free polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}]$ on $J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which is called the *dual algebra* of $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}] = \mathcal{R}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$, where $J_n^{*\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ is the dual of $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-linear spaces.

Definition 1. A square-free homogeneous polynomial $g = \sum_i t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$ is called a *faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial* if each monomial $t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ is a faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-representative in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$ (i.e., $\{t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}\}$ forms a basis of $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$).

Both $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}$ are isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ and are dual to each other by the following pairing:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : J_n^{*\mathbb{R}} \times J_n^{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2) \tag{2.2}$$

defined by $\langle \xi, \rho \rangle = \rho \circ \xi$, composition of homomorphisms. Thus, each faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial $g = \sum_i t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$ determines a unique homogeneous polynomial $g^* = \sum_i s_{i,1} \cdots s_{i,n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}]$, which is called the *dual $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial* of g, where $\{s_{i,1}, ..., s_{i,n}\}$ is the dual basis of $\{t_{i,1}, ..., t_{i,n}\}$, determined by the pairing (2.2).

In [37], Lü and Tan defined a *differential operator* d on $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}]$ as follows: for each monomial $s_1 \cdots s_i$ of degree $i \geq 1$

$$d_i(s_1 \cdots s_i) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{i} s_1 \cdots s_{j-1} \widehat{s_j} s_{j+1} \cdots s_i & \text{if } i > 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

and $d_0(1) = 0$, where the symbol $\widehat{s_j}$ means that s_j is deleted. Obviously, $d^2 = 0$, so $(\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}], d)$ forms a chain complex. Then, Lü and Tan proved the following theorem which

formulates a simple criterion of a faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial $g \in \mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ in terms of the vanishing of the differential d on the dual of g.

Theorem 2.3 ([37, Theorem 2.3]). *Let $g = \sum_i t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ be a faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$. Then $g \in \mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ if and only if $d(g^*) = 0$.*

By $\mathcal{S}(J_n^{\mathbb{R}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(J_n^{*\mathbb{R}})$) one denotes the infinite symmetric tensor algebra on $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. $J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}$) over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. It turns out that $\mathcal{S}(J_n^{\mathbb{R}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(J_n^{*\mathbb{R}})$) is in effect the same as the graded polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, in indeterminates that are basis elements for $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. $J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}$). Then one has that

$$\mathcal{S}(J_n^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{S}(J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}) \cong H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

as algebras since both $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}$ are isomorphic to $H^1(B(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-linear spaces.

Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 give following interesting algebraic corollary, which indicates a unification of "differential" and "integral" in some sense.

Corollary 2.4 ([37]). *Let $g = \sum_i t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ be a faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$. Then $d(g^*) = 0$ if and only if for all symmetric polynomial functions $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2$,*

$$\sum_i \frac{f(t_{i,1}, ..., t_{i,n})}{t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}} \in \mathcal{S}(J_n^{\mathbb{R}})$$

when $t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ and $f(t_{i,1}, ..., t_{i,n})$ are regarded as polynomials in $\mathcal{S}(J_n^{\mathbb{R}})$.

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is based upon another characterization of $g \in \mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ in terms of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graphs (or mod 2 GKM graphs), which will be introduced in the next subsection.

2.2. **$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graphs and small covers.** In [19], Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson established the GKM theory, indicating that there is an essential link between topology and geometry of torus actions and the combinatorics of colored graphs (see also [21]). Such a link has already been expanded to the case of mod 2-torus actions (see, e.g., [3, 4, 32, 34]). Specifically, assume that M^m is a smooth closed m-manifold with an effective smooth $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-action fixing a nonempty finite set $M^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}$, which implies $m \geq n$ (see [2]). Then we know from [32, 34] that the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-action on M^m defines a regular graph Γ_M of valence m with the vertex set $M^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}$ and a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring α. In the extreme case where $m = n$ (i.e., M^n is a 2-torus manifold), one knows from [3, 32, 34] that such a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph (Γ_M, α) is uniquely determined by the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-action where α is defined as a map from the set E_{Γ_M} of all edges of Γ_M to all non-trivial elements of $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$, and it satisfies the following properties:.

(P1) for each vertex v of Γ_M, $\prod_{x \in E_v} \alpha(x)$ is faithful in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$, where E_v denotes the set of all edges adjacent to v;

(P2) for each edge e of Γ_M, $\alpha(E_u) \equiv \alpha(E_v) \mod \alpha(e)$ in $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ where u and v are two endpoints of e.

The pair (Γ_M, α) is called the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-*colored graph* of the 2-torus manifold M^n.

Guillemin and Zara [21] formulated the results of GKM theory in terms of a colored graph, and developed the GKM theory combinatorially. They defined and studied the abstract GKM graphs. This idea may still be carried out in the mod 2 case. Following [32], let Γ be a finite regular graph of valence n without loops. If there is a map α from the set E_Γ

of all edges of Γ to all nontrivial elements of $J_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the properties (P1) and (P2) as above, then the pair (Γ,α) is called an *abstract* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$*-colored graph* of Γ, and α is called a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$*-coloring* on Γ.

Let (Γ,α) be an abstract $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph. Set

$$g_{(\Gamma,\alpha)}=\sum_{v\in V_\Gamma}\prod_{x\in E_v}\alpha(x)$$

which is called the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$*-coloring polynomial* of (Γ,α). Obviously, $g_{(\Gamma,\alpha)}$ is a faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$. It was shown in [32, Proposition 2.2] that for an abstract $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph (Γ,α), the collection $\{\alpha(E_v), v\in V_\Gamma\}$ is always realizable as the fixed point data of some 2-torus manifold M^n, which implies that the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring polynomial $g_{(\Gamma,\alpha)}$ of (Γ,α) must belong to the image $\mathrm{Im}\phi_n$. However, this result does not tell us whether (Γ,α) is the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph (Γ_M,α) of M^n or not, which is related to the following geometric realization problem: *under what condition can* (Γ,α) *become a* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$*-colored graph of some 2-torus manifold?* Some work for the geometric realization problem has been studied in details in [3]. On the other hand, one has known from [3] or [34, Section 2] that each 2-torus manifold M^n determines a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph (Γ_M,α), and the corresponding $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring polynomial $g_{(\Gamma_M,\alpha)}$ is exactly $\phi_n(\{M^n\})$. This gives another characterization of a faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial $g\in\mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ in terms of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graphs.

Theorem 2.5 ([37, Theorem 4.2])**.** *A faithful* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$*-polynomial* g *in* $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$ *belongs to* $\mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ *if and only if it is the* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$*-coloring polynomial of an abstract* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$*-colored graph* (Γ,α)*.*

Remark 2. It was shown in [37, Propositions 5.2–5.3] that for a faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial $g\in\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$, if $g\in\mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ then $d(g^*)=0$, and if $d(g^*)=0$, then g is the G_n-coloring polynomial of an abstract $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph. Then Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 2.5.

Now by $\mathcal{G}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ we denote the set of all abstract $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graphs (Γ,α). Two abstract $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graphs (Γ_1,α_1) and (Γ_2,α_2) in $\mathcal{G}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ are said to be *equivalent* if $g_{(\Gamma_1,\alpha_1)}=g_{(\Gamma_2,\alpha_2)}$, denoted by $(\Gamma_1,\alpha_1)\sim(\Gamma_2,\alpha_2)$. On the coset $\mathcal{G}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)/\sim$, define the addition $+$ as follows:

$$\{(\Gamma_1,\alpha_1)\}+\{(\Gamma_2,\alpha_2)\}:=\{(\Gamma_1,\alpha_1)\sqcup(\Gamma_2,\alpha_2)\}$$

where $\sqcup$ means the disjoint union.

Corollary 2.6 ([37])**.** $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathcal{G}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)/\sim$*.*

Davis–Januszkiewicz theory gives another link between the equivariant topology and the combinatorics of simple convex polytopes. An n-dimensional *small cover* $\pi: M^n\longrightarrow P^n$ is a smooth closed n-manifold M^n with a locally standard $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-action such that its orbit space is a simple convex n-polytope P^n, where a locally standard $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-action on M^n means that this $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-action on M^n is locally isomorphic to a faithful representation of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Each small cover $\pi: M^n\longrightarrow P^n$ determines a characteristic function λ (here we call it a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$*-coloring*) on P^n, defined by mapping all facets (i.e., $(n-1)$-dimensional faces) of P^n to nontrivial elements of $J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}$ such that n facets meeting at each vertex are mapped to n linearly independent elements. A fascinating characteristic for $\pi: M^n\longrightarrow P^n$ is that M^n can be recovered by the pair (P^n,λ), so that the algebraic topology of M^n is essentially consistent with the algebraic combinatorics of (P^n,λ).

Now suppose that $\pi : M^n \longrightarrow P^n$ is a small cover, and $\lambda : \mathcal{F}(P^n) \longrightarrow J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}$ is its characteristic function, where $\mathcal{F}(P^n)$ consists of all facets of P^n. Given a vertex v of P^n, since P^n is simple, there are n facets $F_1, ..., F_n$ in $\mathcal{F}(P^n)$ such that $v = F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_n$. Then the vertex v determines a monomial $\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(F_i)$ of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}]$, whose dual by the pairing (2.2) is faithful in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$. Here $\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(F_i)$ is called the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-*coloring monomial at* v, denoted by λ_v. Moreover, all vertices in the vertex set V_{P^n} of P^n via λ give a polynomial $\sum_{v\in V_{P^n}} \lambda_v$ of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{*\mathbb{R}}]$, which is denoted by $g_{(P^n,\lambda)}$, and is called $g_{(P^n,\lambda)}$ the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-*coloring polynomial* of (P^n, λ). On the other hand, let (Γ_M, α) be the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph of $\pi : M^n \longrightarrow P^n$, and let $g_{(\Gamma_M,\alpha)}$ be the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring polynomial of (Γ_M, α). One knows from [32, Proposition 4.1; Remark 4] that Γ_M is exactly the 1-skeleton of P^n, and both λ and α determine each other. This gives

Proposition 2.7 ([37, Proposition 4.7])**.** *$g_{(P^n,\lambda)}$ is the dual polynomial of $g_{(\Gamma_M,\alpha)}$.*

Remark 3. The following formulae for a colored polytope (P^n, λ) with $P^n = P_1 \times P_2$ were obtained.

- Product formula ([37, Proposition 4.10]). $g_{(P_1\times P_2,\lambda)} = g_{(P_1,\lambda_1)}g_{(P_2,\lambda_2)}$;
- Connected sum formula ([37, Proposition 4.12]). $g_{(P_1\sharp_{v_1,v_2}P_2,\lambda)} = g_{(P_1,\lambda_1)} + g_{(P_2,\lambda_2)}$

where λ_i is the restriction to P_i of λ, and v_i is a vertex of P_i.

Proposition 2.7 provides us much insight to the study on $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. A further question is *whether or not can the dual g^* of $g \in \mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ be characterized in terms of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored n-polytopes?* The positive solution of this question means that the Conjecture $(*)$ holds.

2.3. **Structure of $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$.** Now let us look at the structure of $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. One has by Theorem 2.3 that as linear spaces over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ is isomorphic to the linear space $\mathcal{V}_n$ formed by all faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomials $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$ with $d(g^*) = 0$. Then, the problem can be further reduced to studying the linear space $\mathcal{V}_n^*$ formed by the dual polynomials of those polynomials in $\mathcal{V}_n$. In [37, Proposition 6.7], Lü and Tan first showed that $\mathcal{V}_n^*$ is generated by the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomials of products of simplices with $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colorings, and they then showed in the proof of [37, Theorem 2.5] that each polynomial of $\mathcal{V}_n^*$ is exactly the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring polynomial of a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored simple convex n-polytope. This gives

Theorem 2.8 ([37, Corollary 6.10])**.** *A faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$ belongs to $\mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ if and only if its dual polynomial g^* is the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring polynomial of a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored simple convex polytope (P^n, λ).*

As a consequence, one has that

Corollary 2.9 ([37, Theorem 2.5])**.** *The Conjecture $(*)$ holds.*

The proof of Theorem 2.8 in [37] also tells us the basic structure of the graded noncommutative ring $\mathfrak{M}_* = \sum_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$, which is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.10 ([37, Theorem 2.6])**.** *$\mathfrak{M}_*$ is generated by the equivariant unoriented bordism classes of all generalized real Bott manifolds.*

Remark 4. Generalized real Bott manifolds belong to a class of nicely behaved small covers, which were introduced and studied in [9]. A *generalized real Bott tower* of height n is a

sequence of $\mathbb{R}P^{n_i}$-bundles with $n_i \geq 1$:

$$B_n^{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} B_{n-1}^{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B_1^{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B_0^{\mathbb{R}} = \{\text{a point}\}$$

where each $\pi_i : B_i^{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow B_{i-1}^{\mathbb{R}}$ for $i = 1, ..., n$ is the projectivization of a Whitney sum of $n_i + 1$ real line bundles over $B_i^{\mathbb{R}}$, and $B_i^{\mathbb{R}}$ is called an *i-stage generalized real Bott manifold* or a *generalized real Bott manifold of height i*. It is well-known that $B_i^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a small cover over $\Delta^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{n_i}$ where Δ^{n_j} is an n_j-dimensional simplex.

Theorem 2.10 gives a strong connection between the computation of equivariant bordism groups $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ and the Davis–Januszkiewicz theory of small covers. As a computational application, one has that

Proposition 2.11 ([37]). *For $n = 3$, $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{Z}_3((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3) = 13$, and for $n = 4$, $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{Z}_4((\mathbb{Z}_2)^4) = 510$.*

2.4. **A summary and further problems.** Together with Theorems 2.2, 2.3, 2.5 and 2.8, it follows that there are some essential relationships among 2-torus manifolds, coloring polynomials, colored simple convex polytopes, colored graphs, which are stated as follows:

Theorem 2.12. *Let $g = \sum_i t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ be a faithful $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$, and g^* be the dual polynomial of g. Then the following statements are all equivalent.*

(1) *$g \in \mathrm{Im}\phi_n$ (i.e., there is an n-dimensional 2-torus manifold M^n such that $g = \sum_{p \in M^G} [\tau_p M]$);*
(2) *g is the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring polynomial of a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph (Γ, α);*
(3) *$g = \sum_i t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ possesses the property that for any symmetric polynomial function $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2$,*

$$\sum_i \frac{f(t_{i,1}, ..., t_{i,n})}{t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}} \in \mathcal{S}(J_n^{\mathbb{R}});$$

(4) *$d(g^*) = 0$;*
(5) *g^* is the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring polynomial of a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored simple convex polytope (P^n, λ).*

Based upon the above equivalent results, it seems to be interesting to discuss the properties of regular graphs and simple convex polytopes. In Theorem 2.12(2), Γ can actually be chosen as the 1-skeleton of a polytope. However, for a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph (Γ, α), we don't know when Γ will become the 1-skeleton of a polytope. Indeed, given a graph, to determine whether it is the 1-skeleton of a polytope or not is a quite difficult problem except for the known Steinitz theorem (see [20]). In addition, the product formula in Remark 3 tells us that a simple convex polytope with a coloring is indecomposable if its coloring polynomial is indecomposable. These observations lead us to pose the following problems:

- *For a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph (Γ, α), under what condition will Γ be the 1-skeleton of a polytope?*
- *Given a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored simple convex polytope (P^n, λ), can we give a necessary and sufficient condition that P^n is indecomposable?*

3. Equivariant unitary bordism of unitary toric manifolds

So far, there have been many different ways to the study of equivariant unitary bordism ring $\Omega_*^{U,G}$ (see, e.g., [6, 13, 16, 30, 42, 43]), where G is a compact Lie group, and $\Omega_*^{U,G}$ is generated by the equivariant unitary bordism classes of all unitary G-manifolds. However, the explicit ring structure of $\Omega_*^{U,G}$ is still difficult to calculate and only partial results are known. Some explicit computations of various equivariant unitary bordism rings were made by Kosniowski [24], Landweber [28], and Stong [50] for $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and by Kosniowski–Yahia [27] and Sinha [47] for $G = S^1$. More recently, when $G = T^n$, Hanke showed in [23, Theorem 1] the existence of a pull back square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_*^{U,T^n} & \longrightarrow & MU_*[e_V^{-1}, Y_{V,d}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ MU_*^{T^n} & \longrightarrow & MU_*[e_V, e_V^{-1}, Y_{V,d}] \end{array}$$

with all maps being injective, so that the Pontrjagin–Thom map induces a ring isomorphism

$$\Omega_*^{U,T^n} \cong MU_*^{T^n} \cap MU_*[e_V^{-1}, Y_{V,d}]$$

where $MU_*^{T^n}$ is the homotopy theoretic equivariant unitary bordism ring (which was defined by tom Dieck in [16]), MU_* is the ordinary homotopy theoretic unitary bordism ring, and e_V are the Euler classes of nontrivial irreducible complex T^n-representations V, $Y_{V,d}$ are the classes of degree $2d$ ($2 \leq d \leq \infty$) represented by the T^n-bundle $E \otimes V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{d-1}$ with $E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{d-1}$ being the hyperplane line bundle (see [23] for more details). In his Ph. D's thesis [14, Proposition 4.24], Darby showed an refinement result to the case in which the fixed point set is isolated, saying that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_*^U(T^n) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_*^{U,T^n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}[e_V^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & MU_*[e_V^{-1}, Y_{V,d}] \end{array}$$

has all maps injective and is a pullback square, where $\mathcal{Z}_*^U(T^n) = \sum_{m\geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_{2m}^U(T^n)$ is the subring of Ω_*^{U,T^n} that consists of all classes that can be represented by unitary T^n-manifolds with finite fixed point set. Furthermore, Darby obtained in [14, Corollary 6.20] the following monomorphism from his refinement result:

$$\varphi_n : \mathcal{Z}_{2n}^U(T^n) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(J_n^{\mathbb{C}})$$

where $J_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the set of irreducible T^n-representations so $J_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with $\mathrm{Hom}(T^n, S^1)$, and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(J_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the free exterior algebra on $J_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ with the graded structure $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(J_n^{\mathbb{C}}) = \bigoplus_m \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^m(J_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ having the property $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^k(J_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \wedge \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^l(J_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^{k+l}(J_n^{\mathbb{C}})$. The monomorphism $\varphi_n : \mathcal{Z}_{2n}^U(T^n) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(J_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ is an analogue of $\phi_* : \mathcal{Z}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[J_n^{\mathbb{R}}]$ as stated in Section 2. Moreover, Darby carried out his study on $\mathcal{Z}_{2n}^U(T^n)$ (i.e., the equivariant unitary bordism of all unitary toric $2n$-manifolds) by capturing the ideas developed in the setting of 2-torus manifolds, which will be introduced in the next subsection.

3.1. **Structure of Ξ_*, faithful exterior polynomials and torus graphs.** An exterior polynomial g in $\Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$ is said to be *faithful* if the indeterminates from each monomial of g form a basis of $J^{\mathbb{C}}_n$. Similarly to the definition of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$, define $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n)$ to be the free exterior algebra on $J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, where $J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n = \mathrm{Hom}(S^1, T^n)$. Since both $J^{\mathbb{C}}_n$ and $J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and are dual by the following pair

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n \times J^{\mathbb{C}}_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(S^1, S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

defined by $\langle \xi, \rho \rangle = \rho \circ \xi$, for each faithful exterior polynomial $g \in \Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$ one may obtain a *dual polynomial* $g^* \in \Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n)$ by considering the dual basis in $J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n$ of the basis in $J^{\mathbb{C}}_n$ produced by each monomial of g. Clearly, the monomorphism φ_n maps each nonzero class β of $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$ to an faithful exterior polynomial $\varphi_n(\beta)$ in $\Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$.

Similarly, a differential operator d on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n)$ may be defined as follows: for each monomial $s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_k \in \Lambda^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n)$ with $k \geq 1$

$$d_k(s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_k) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} s_1 \wedge \cdots s_{i-1} \wedge \widehat{s_i} \wedge s_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge s_k & \text{if } k > 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

and $d_0(1) = 0$.

Recall that $\Xi_* = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$ is the graded noncommutative ring generated by the equivariant unitary bordism classes of all unitary toric manifolds. Let K_n denote the abelian group of all faithful exterior polynomials $g \in \Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$ such that $d(g^*) = 0$. Then $K_* = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_n$ forms a graded noncommutative subring of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$. Darby showed that

Theorem 3.1 ([14, Theorem 8.5])**.** *Both Ξ_* and K_* are isomorphic. Furthermore, a faithful exterior polynomial g in $\Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$ belongs to* $\mathrm{Im}\varphi_n$ *if and only if $d(g^*) = 0$.*

A *torus graph* is a pair (Γ, α) consisting of an n-valent regular graph Γ with a torus axial function $\alpha : E_\Gamma \longrightarrow J^{\mathbb{C}}_n$ subject to the following properties:

(1) $\alpha(\overline{e}) = \pm\alpha(e)$;
(2) for each vertex v, $\alpha(E_v)$ forms a basis of $J^{\mathbb{C}}_n$;
(3) for each edge e, $\alpha(E_{i(e)}) \equiv \alpha(E_{t(e)}) \mod \alpha(e)$

where E_Γ denotes the set of oriented edges of Γ, that is, each edge appears twice in E_Γ with opposite orientations, $i(e)$ and $t(e)$ denote the initial and terminal vertices of an edge $e \in E_\Gamma$, respectively, $\overline{e}$ denotes the edge e with its opposite orientation, and for each vertex v, $E_v = \{e \in E_\Gamma | i(e) = v\}$. Note that a torus axial function is different from the axial function for GKM-graphs as defined in [22], which requires that $\alpha(\overline{e}) = -\alpha(e)$ as well as that the elements of $\alpha(E_v)$ are pairwise linearly independent. As shown in [41], a torus graph is not a GKM graph in general, each torus manifold M determines a torus graph (Γ_M, α), and all torus graphs are orientable, where an *orientation* of a torus graph (Γ, α) is an assignment $\sigma : V_\Gamma \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ satisfying $\sigma(i(e))\alpha(e) = -\sigma(i(\overline{e})\alpha(\overline{e})$ for every $e \in E_\Gamma$, and a *torus manifold* of dimension $2n$ is a smooth closed $2n$-manifold with an effective T^n-action fixing a nonempty set (so a unitary toric manifold is a special torus manifold). Darby showed in [14, Proposition 6.11] that the torus graph of a unitary toric manifold is orientable, and he gave the definition of the torus polynomial $g_{(\Gamma,\alpha,\sigma)}$ of an oriented torus graph (Γ, α, σ) (see [14, Definition 6.17]). Furthermore, Darby characterized the torus polynomials of oriented torus graphs in terms of the vanishing of the differential d on the dual polynomials.

Theorem 3.2 ([14, Theorem 6.26]). *Let $g \in \Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$ be a faithful polynomial. Then g is the torus polynomial of an oriented torus graph if and only if $d(g^*) = 0$.*

A *quasitoric manifold* is an even-dimensional smooth closed manifold M^{2n} equipped with a locally standard smooth T^n-action such that the orbit space is a simple n-polytope P. Like small covers, each quasitoric manifold $\pi : M^{2n} \longrightarrow P^n$ determines a characteristic map λ (also called a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-coloring here) on P^n, which sends each facet of P^n onto non-trivial elements of $J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n$, unique up to sign, such that the n facets of P^n meeting at a vertex are mapped to a basis of $J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n$, and M^{2n} can be recovered from the combinatorial data (P^n, λ). As shown in [7], each quasitoric manifold $\pi : M^{2n} \longrightarrow P^n$ with an omniorientation is a unitary toric manifold, where an *omniorientation* consists of a choice of orientation for M^{2n} and for every submanifold $\pi^{-1}(F)$, $F \in \mathcal{F}(P^n)$ (the set of all facets of P^n). Each omnioriented quasitoric manifold still determines a pair (P^n, λ), which is called the *quasitoric pair*. Then the following result means that the study on omnioriented quasitoric manifolds can be reduced to the study on quasitoric pairs.

Theorem 3.3 ([14, Corollary 5.28], also see [6, Theorem 5.10]). *There is a bijection between the set of quasitoric pairs and the set of omnioriented quasitoric manifolds.*

To consider whether each class of $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$ is represented by an omnioriented quasitoric manifold, Darby in [14] introduced a graded noncommutative ring $\mathcal{Q}_*$, which is generated by all quasitoric pairs with the addition and the multiplication given by the disjoint union and the cartesian product, respectively. Then he studied the homomorphism of non-commutative graded rings

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{Q}_* \longrightarrow \Xi_*$$

by constructing the omnioriented quasitoric manifold associated to a quasitoric pair. This homomorphism is not a monomorphism, but if it is surjective, then one can obtain that each class of $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$ is represented by an omnioriented quasitoric manifold. Darby made a significant advance to show that when $n = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{M}$ is surjective (see [14, Corollaries 8.8 and 8.10]).

Darby also extended the connected sum construction of quasitoric pairs which allows for a more general notion of the equivariant connected sum of omnioriented quasitoric manifolds ([14, §7.3]), and obtained the connected sum formula and the product formula for quasitoric pairs ([14, Lemmas 7.5 and 7.11]). In addition, for an omnioriented quasitoric manifold $\pi : M^{2n} \longrightarrow P^n$, he also showed that the polynomial of the quasitoric pair (P^n, λ) is the dual of the torus polynomial of the associated oriented torus graph (Γ_M, α) ([14, Formula (7.5)]).

3.2. **Equivariant Chern numbers and the number of fixed points for unitary torus manifolds.** In [18], Guillemin, Ginzburg and Karshon showed that the equivariant unitary bordism class of a unitary T^n-manifold with isolated fixed points is completely determined by its equivariant Chern numbers. In [36], Lü and Tan gave a refinement of their result in the setting of unitary toric manifolds.

Theorem 3.4 ([36, Theorem 1.1]). *Let $\beta = \{M\}$ be a class in $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$. Then $\beta = 0$ if and only if the equivariant Chern numbers $\langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M]\rangle = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $[M]$ is the fundamental class of M with respect to the given orientation.*

In [25], Kosniowski studied unitary S^1-manifolds and when the fixed points are isolated, he proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture (Kosniowski). *Suppose that M^{2n} is a unitary S^1-manifold with isolated fixed points. If M^{2n} does not bound equivariantly then the number of fixed points is greater than $f(n)$, where $f(n)$ is some linear function.*

Remark 5. As was noted by Kosniowski in [25], the most likely function is $f(n) = \frac{n}{2}$, so the number of fixed points of M^{2n} is at least $[\frac{n}{2}] + 1$.

With respect to this conjecture, recently some related works have been done (see [8, 29, 44]). For example, Li and Liu showed in [29] that if M^{2mn} is an almost complex manifold and there exists a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ of weight m such that the corresponding Chern number $\langle (c_{\lambda_1} \ldots c_{\lambda_r})^n, [M] \rangle$ is nonzero, then any S^1-action on M must have at least $n+1$ fixed points. In the case of the unitary torus manifolds, one can apply Theorem 3.4 to obtain the following result, which further provides supporting evidence to the Kosniowski conjecture.

Theorem 3.5 ([36, Theorem 1.2])**.** *Suppose that M^{2n} is a $(2n)$-dimensional unitary toric manifold. If M^{2n} does not bound equivariantly, then the number of fixed points is at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, where $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ denotes the minimal integer no less than $\frac{n}{2}$.*

3.3. **Problems and conjectures.** We would like to conclude this section with the following problems and conjectures:

Problem 3.6. *Let $g = \sum_i t_{i,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge t_{i,n}$ be a faithful exterior polynomial in $\Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Z}}[J^{\mathbb{C}}_n]$. Is the following fact true?*

Fact. *$d(g^*) = 0$ if and only if for all symmetric polynomial functions $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\sum_i \frac{f(t_{i,1}, ..., t_{i,n})}{t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}} \in \mathcal{S}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$$

when $t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,n}$ and $f(t_{i,1}, ..., t_{i,n})$ are regarded as polynomials in $\mathcal{S}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$, where $\mathcal{S}(J^{\mathbb{C}}_n)$ is the symmetric algebra on $J^{\mathbb{C}}_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Conjecture 3.7 ([14, Conjecture 8.13])**.** *Each class of $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$ is represented by an omniori-ented quasitoric manifold. Equivalently, the homomorphism $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{Q}_* \longrightarrow \Xi_*$ is surjective.*

Conjecture 3.8 ([36, Conjecture 3.1])**.** *The number $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ is the best possible lower bound of the number of fixed points for nonbounding unitary toric manifolds of dimension $2n$.*

4. Relation between $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ and $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n)$

Milnor's work tells us in [45] (see also [48]) that there is a homomorphism $F_* : \Omega^U_* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}^2_*$ where $\mathfrak{N}^2_* = \{\alpha^2 | \alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_*\}$. This actually implies that there is a covering homomorphism $H_n : \Omega^U_{2n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_n$ which is induced by $\theta_n \circ F_n$, where $\theta_n : \mathfrak{N}^2_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_n$ is defined by mapping $\alpha^2 \longmapsto \alpha$. This observation leads to the following natural question: *Is there a homomorphism $\widetilde{H}_n : \mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ such that $\widetilde{H}_n$ is onto?*

In [38], Lü and Tan discussed this question. The homomorphism $\widetilde{H}_n$ is defined as follows: First, a class $\{M^{2n}\}$ in $\mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n))$ gives an oriented torus graph (Γ_M, α) of M^{2n}. Next one

may obtain a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored graph $(\Gamma, \overline{\alpha})$ from (Γ_M, α) such that $\Gamma = \Gamma_M$ and $\overline{\alpha}$ is the mod 2 reduction of α, and then the coloring polynomial of $(\Gamma, \overline{\alpha})$ determines a class of $\mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ as desired. In particular, if M^{2n} is an omnioriented quasitoric manifold over a simple convex polytope P, then $\widetilde{H}_n$ exactly maps $\{M^{2n}\}$ into the class of the fixed point set (as a small cover over P) of the natural conjugation involution on M^{2n} (see [15, Corollary 1.9]). Furthermore, it was showed by using Atiyah–Bott–Berline–Vergne localization theorem and a classical result of Stong that $\widetilde{H}_n$ is well-defined. With a very technical method, Lü and Tan showed that

Theorem 4.1 ([38]). *The homomorphism $\widetilde{H}_n : \mathcal{Z}^U_{2n}(T^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_n((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ is surjective.*

Remark 6. Theorem 4.1 gives an answer to the lifting problem from small covers to quasitoric manifolds in the sense of equivariant bordism, where the lifting problem is explained as follows: Given a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-coloring $\lambda : \mathcal{F}(P^n) \longrightarrow J^{*\mathbb{R}}_n \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ on a simple convex n-polytope P^n, *does there exist a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-coloring $\widetilde{\lambda} : \mathcal{F}(P^n) \longrightarrow J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that the following diagram commutes?*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & J^{*\mathbb{C}}_n \\ & \overset{\widetilde{\lambda}}{\nearrow} & \big\downarrow \text{ mod } 2 \\ \mathcal{F}(P^n) & \xrightarrow[\lambda]{} & J^{*\mathbb{R}}_n \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(P^n)$ denotes the set of all facets of P^n. This problem was posed by the author of this paper at the conference on toric topology held in Osaka in November 2011[†]. The problem is still open except for the cases of $n \leq 3$ and $m - n \leq 3$, where m is the number of all facets of P^n (see [10]).

References

[1] Alexander, J. C. The bordism ring of manifolds with involution. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1972), 536–542.

[2] C. Allday and V. Puppe, *Cohomological Methods in Transformation Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **32**, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[3] Z. Q. Bao and Z. Lü, *Manifolds associated with $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-colored regular graphs*, Forum Math **24** (2012), 121–149.

[4] D. Biss, V. Guillemin and T. S. Holm, *The mod 2 cohomology of fixed point sets of anti-symplectic involutions*, Adv. Math. **185** (2004), 370–399.

[5] V. M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, University Lecture Series, 24. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

[6] V. M. Buchstaber, T.E. Panov and N. Ray, *Toric Genera*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **2010**, No. 16, 3207–3262.

[7] V. M. Buchstaber and N. Ray, *Toric manifolds and complex cobordisms*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **53** (1998), 139–140. In Russian; translated in Russ. Math. Surv. **53** (1998), 371–373.

[8] H. W. Cho, J. H. Kim and H. C. Park, *On the conjecture of Kosniowski*, Asian J. Math. **16** (2012), no. 2, 271–278.

[9] S. Y. Choi, M. Masuda and D. Y. Suh, *Topological classification of generalized Bott manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 1097–1112.

[10] S. Y. Choi, and H. C. Park, *Wedge operations and torus symmetries*, arXiv:1305.0136

[11] P.E. Conner, Differentiable Periodic Maps, 2nd Edition, **738**, Springer–Verlag, 1979.

[†]http://www.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp/ masuda/toric/torictopology2011_osaka.html

[12] P.E. Conner and E.E. Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps*, Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete, N. F., Bd. **33**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964.
[13] P.E. Conner and E.E. Floyd, *Periodic maps which preserve a complex structure*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 574–579.
[14] A. Darby, *Quesitoric manifolds in equivariant complex bordism*, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Manchester, 2013.
[15] M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1991), 417-451.
[16] T. tom Dieck, *Bordism of G-manifolds and integrality theorems*, Topology **9** (1970), 345–358.
[17] T. tom Dieck, *Characteristic numbers of G-manifolds I*, Invent. Math. **13** (1971), 213–224.
[18] V. Guillemin, V. Ginzburg and Y. Karshon, *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*, in 'Mathematical Surveys and Monographs', **98**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
[19] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), 25–83.
[20] B. Grünbaum, *Convex Polytopes*, Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **221**, Springer–Verlag, 2003.
[21] V. Guillemin and C. Zara, *1-Skeleta, Betti numbers, and equivariant cohomology*, Duke Math. J. **107** (2001), 283–349.
[22] V. Guillemin and C. Zara, *Equivariant de Rham Theory and Graphs*, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 49–76.
[23] B. Hanke, *Geometric versus homotopy theoretic equivariant bordism*, Math. Ann. **332** (2005), 677–696.
[24] C. Kosniowski, *Generators of the $\mathbb{Z}/p$ bordism ring: serendipity*, Math. Z. **149** (1976), 121–130.
[25] C. Kosniowski, *Some formulae and conjectures associated with circle actions*, Topology Symposium, Siegen 1979 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Siegen, Siegen, 1979), pp331–339, Lecture Notes in Math., **788**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
[26] C. Kosniowski and R.E. Stong, *$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$-actions and characteristic numbers*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **28** (1979), 723–743.
[27] C. Kosniowski and M. Yahia, *Unitary bordism of circle actions*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society **26** (1983), 97–105.
[28] P.S. Landweber, *Equivariant bordism and cyclic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1972), 564–570.
[29] P. Li and K. F. Liu, *Some remarks on circle action on manifolds*, Mathematical Research Letters **18** (2011), 437–446.
[30] P. Löffler, *Characteristic Numbers of Unitary Torus-Manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 1262–1263.
[31] P. Löffler, *Bordismengruppen Unitärer Torusmannigfaltigkeiten*, Manuscripta Mathematica **12** (1974), 307–327.
[32] Z. Lü, *Graphs of 2-torus actions*, Toric topology, 261–272, Contemp. Math., **460**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
[33] Z. Lü, *2-torus manifolds, cobordism and small covers*, Pacific J. Math. **241** (2009), 285–308.
[34] Z. Lü, *Graphs and $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$-actions*, arXiv: math.AT/0508643.
[35] Z. Lü and M. Masuda, *Equivariant classification of 2-torus manifolds*, Colloq. Math. **115** (2009), 171–188.
[36] Z. Lü and Q. B. Tan, *Equivariant Chern numbers and the number of fixed points for unitary torus manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **18** (2011), no. 6, 1319–1325.
[37] Z. Lü and Q. B. Tan, *Small covers and the equivariant bordism classification of 2-torus manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (First published online: September 3, 2013), doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnt183.
[38] Z. Lü and Q. B. Tan, *The relation between equivariant bordism groups of 2-torus manifolds and unitary toric manifolds*, preprint.
[39] Z. Lü and L. Yu, *Topological types of 3-dimensional small covers*, Forum Math. **23** (2011), 245–284.
[40] M. Masuda, *Unitary toric manifolds, multi-fans and equivariant index*, Tohoku Math. J. **51** (1999), 237–265.
[41] H. Maeda, M. Masuda and T. Panov, *Torus Graphs and Simplicial Posets*, Adv. in Math. **212** (2007), 458–483.

[42] S. P. Novikov, *Methods of algebraic topology from the point of view of cobordism theory*, Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya **32** (1968), 1245–1263 (Russian). English translation in Mathematics of the USSR–Izvestiya **2** (1968), 1193–1211.
[43] S. P. Novikov, *Adams operations and fixed points*, Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya **31** (1967), 855–951 (Russian). English translation in Mathematics of the USSR–Izvestiya **1** (1967), 827–913.
[44] A. Pelayo and S. Tolman, *Fixed points of symplectic periodic flows*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **31** (2011), 1237–1247.
[45] J.W. Milnor, *On the Stiefel–Whitney numbers of complex manifolds and of spin manifolds*, Topology, **3** (1965), 223–230.
[46] Dev P. Sinha, *Real equivariant bordism and stable transversality obstructions for* $\mathbb{Z}/2$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 1, 271–281.
[47] Dev P. Sinha, *Computations of complex equivariant bordism rings*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 4, 577–605.
[48] R.E. Stong, *Notes on cobordism theory*, Princeton University Press, 1968.
[49] R.E. Stong, *Equivariant bordism and* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$*-actions*, Duke Math. J. **37** (1970), 779–785.
[50] R.E. Stong, *Complex and Oriented Equivariant Bordism*, In Topology of Manifolds (Proceedings of The University of Georgia Institute, Athens, GA, 1969), 291–316. Chicago, IL: Markham, 1970.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, P.R. China.
E-mail address: zlu@fudan.edu.cn